

Covid-19: When will African Parliaments Commence Investigations?



Dr Anthony Fauci

Lisa Shaw, a 44-year-old BBC presenter, was a stickler for following all COVID-19 rules. She looked forward to receiving the vaccine so she could once again hug her mother and also saw it as a community service, encouraging others to do the same. On 29 April, 2021, she received her first AstraZeneca dose. About a week later, she developed severe headaches and was diagnosed with blood clots. She died 21 May, 2021. **The coroner ruled that she died of vaccine-induced thrombotic thrombocytopenia (VITT) linked to the AstraZeneca vaccine.**

Global Parliamentary Scrutiny Versus African Silence:

While parliaments across **Europe, America, Asia, Australia, and New Zealand** have conducted, or are currently conducting hearings, inquiries, debates, and legislative actions examining COVID-19 vaccine injuries, compensation failures, the scientific basis of non-pharmaceutical interventions, and the accountability of key decision-makers, African legislatures have remained largely silent. This policy brief draws on documented cases of harm, whistleblower observations, and global parliamentary scrutiny to highlight the urgency of an African parliamentary inquiry.

The continued vaccine drives coordinated by the **Africa CDC and the launch of new health security frameworks, without first addressing unanswered questions about the COVID-19 response, risk prioritizing donor agendas over citizen accountability.**

On Wednesday, 29 July, 2026, the world witnessed Dr. Anthony Fauci plead his Fifth Amendment a record 111 times, at a tense U.S. Senate subcommittee hearing focused on the origins of the COVID-19 pandemic and the federal government's pandemic response. With each new study on data from the impact of the mRNA vaccine rollout, the highly vilified skeptics who resisted all vaccine mandates and were labeled 'Conspiracy Theorists' are being proven right, thus, where is Africa's voice in this global conversation?

"The specific focus of this Bill is on the families of those who tragically died or suffered severe injury or life-changing conditions as a result of being vaccinated in the course of their public duty"

MP Chris Chope, presenting his Covid-19 Vaccine Damage Payments Bill

UK, Europe, USA, Canada and Australia Hearings

In the United Kingdom, the House of Commons held multiple debates in support for the vaccine-injured, while the independent UK COVID-19 Inquiry (Module 4) examined injuries, heard testimony from affected groups, and recommended urgent reforms to the Vaccine Damage Payment Scheme. The Council of Europe Parliamentary Assembly called for independent compensation programs and parliamentary oversight.

In the United States, congressional committees conducted repeated hearings on adverse events, compensation program shortcomings (particularly the Countermeasures Injury Compensation Program), and the origins and communication of public health guidance.

Australia's Senate inquired into excess mortality and vaccine claims schemes, recommending consideration of a permanent no-fault system. Canada examined its federal Vaccine Injury Support Program through parliamentary questions and audits. Germany and New Zealand reviewed and scrutinized existing no-fault schemes, with further calls for select-committee inquiries. The European Parliament debated adverse-event data, post-vaccination syndromes, and compensation access.

Alongside the mandate debate, Australia created a temporary scheme to compensate people harmed by COVID-19 vaccines without needing to prove fault. Many politicians criticized the scheme for being complicated, rejecting too many claims, and closing before all cases were resolved. Senators Roberts and Rennick pushed for a full investigation (a "royal commission") into vaccine safety and regulation, citing reports of harm and unexplained deaths.

"If Pfizer had a TV commercial for its COVID vaccine listing the 158,893 adverse events reported in the first 12 weeks, the announcer would be reading them for more than 80 consecutive hours,"
-Naomi Wolf, PhD"

The global controversies that seem to evade Africa's parliaments have become increasingly worrisome. For example, in the US, attorney Aaron Siri successfully sued the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to compel the release of "The Pfizer Documents." These 450,000 pages detail the clinical trials Pfizer conducted for its COVID-19 mRNA injection to secure Emergency Use Authorization (EUA). This is the same FDA that warned Americans "not to purchase or use EzriCare Artificial Tears" after one death. Yet, there have been tens of thousands of reported deaths and millions of adverse reactions associated with the COVID-19 shots worldwide. The documents revealed over **158,000 adverse events that the pharmaceutical giant reportedly planned to conceal for 75 years.**

Richard Hirschman, a board-certified embalmer and funeral director with over 20 years of experience, revealed in an interview on the Dr. Jane Ruby Show that he and his colleagues had been finding unnatural white, insoluble, stringy, fibrous, and elastic materials that completely fill the vascular system, clogging the arteries and veins of the deceased, which began appearing about six months after the COVID-19 vaccine rollout.

The Elephant in African Parliaments

Most of Africa lacks equivalent instruments, such as an effective VAERS-style system to track, store data, and investigate vaccine injuries. Thus, it would be difficult to commence investigations without proper records and vaccine injury detection methods. Notably, however, the lack of inquiry into the efficacy of, and response to, Covid has contributed to the emergence of unquestioned vaccination quests across Africa.

- When will African parliaments commence their investigations? Platforming the Africa CDC at the last AU General Assembly and launching the AHSS to encourage African nations to fund initiatives should only happen after these institutions and national regulators answer questions from African governments about the COVID-19 response.
- When will local FDAs and medicines regulatory authorities appear before national Senates and Houses of Representatives to explain why they did not independently analyze the vaccines to protect African populations?
- Should these questions be swept aside because of large donations from donor organizations, e.g. the recent USD 500 million from GAVI to the Nigerian Government, or the over USD 60 million from the Gates Foundation to the Ministry of Health?
- Africa adopted public health measures designed outside the continent in response to Covid-19. When will African parliaments find their voices and truly represent the people, rather than donor agencies whose funding can constrain freedom of speech?
Donations must not dictate the truth.

Those who rejected the COVID-19 vaccines and were vilified, silenced, and accused of not "following the science" have been vindicated by many, as the failures of the 2020–2025 era are interrogated globally. By failing to initiate similar inquiries, **African nations risk remaining shackled to policies that caused irreparable economic damage, social upheaval, and widespread medical injury. To remain passive is to remain a vassal of the global interests that designed these protocols. To be Continued.**

email us at: policybriefs@cafpra.org
Plot 1248, Mabushi, Abuja, Nigeria
www.cafpra.org